382. Just for starters

By Ron Klinger

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

North			
▲ A94			
V 95			
♦ KQ76	554		
◆ 94			
South			
♦ 076			
♥ 6432			
♦ A			
♣ AKQ	86		
West	North	East	South
	Pass	Pass	1♣
1♠	2♦	Pass	2NT
Pass	3NT	All Pass	

West leads the $\bigstar K$. Plan the play.

This was yesterday's puzzle:

You are the dealer at favourable vulnerability. What do you do with:

▲ A94
♥ 95
♦ KQ7654
♥ 94

Given the vulnerability, I can imagine North opening 3. For some, this hand would be too strong for a pre-empt at favourable vulnerability. If it is in your armoury, a weak 2. opening fits the bill. If not, it is not a shameful thing to pass. It can be the most successful action a lot of the time. Finally, there are some who would open a natural 1. You pays your money and you makes your choices.

The deal arose in the quarter-final match between FLEISHER and LAVAZZA in the 2016 Vanderbilt (USA Knockout Open Teams):

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

West ♠ KJ1082	North ♠ A94 ♥ 95 ♦ KQ7654 ♣ 94 East ♠ 53		The problem at the top of the page is an important lesson in not playing too quickly, especially at trick 1. A player on autopilot and delighted with the opening lead might grab the A at trick 1. There would be a painful outcome since there is no longer any hope for nine tricks. With the diamonds blocked, the A is the only entry to dummy. You cannot afford to squander it at trick 1.	
 ✓ AQ ◆ J109 ◆ 1052 		 ✓ KJ1087 ◆ 832 ◆ J73 	It is true that a heart switch at trick 2 will also beat you, but why should West find a heart shift, especially from the actual holding?	
	South ▲ Q76 ♥ 6432 ◆ A ♣ AKQ86		South for LAVAZZA ducked the opening lead and West continued with the \bigstar J. South won with the \bigstar Q, cashed the \bigstar A, crossed to the \bigstar A and ran the diamonds. With diamonds and clubs both 3-3, declarer made 12 tricks, LAVAZZA +490.	

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

	North	
	▲ A94	
	v 95	
	♦ KQ7654	
	♣ 94	
West		East
▲ KJ1082		▲ 53
♥ AQ		V KJ1087
♦ J109		♦ 832
♣ 1052		♣ J73
	South	
	♠ Q76	
	♥ 6432	
	♦ A	
	♣ AKQ86	

At the other table, FLEISHER's North-South had an artificial and ultimately unsuccessful auction:

West	North	East	South			
	1 ♦ ⁽¹⁾	Pass	1NT ⁽²⁾			
Pass	2NT ⁽³⁾	Pass	3♣			
Pass	3 ♥ ⁽⁴⁾	Dble ⁽⁵⁾	Pass			
Pass	3 ♠ ⁽⁶⁾	Pass	3NT			
Pass	Pass	Pass				
(1) Not a universal choice						
(2) Artificial, forcing to game						
(3) Artificial, 6+ diamonds						
(4) Asking for a heart stopper						
(5) 'Please lead a heart, partner.'						
(C) T 1	1	•				

(6) I have something useful in spades.

Note that East, an exuberant bidder, passed over 1 \blacklozenge . Unfavourable vulnerability does have an inhibiting effect. In bidding 3NT, South was no doubt hoping that East might have only 4 hearts. Not this time. West led the \clubsuit A and \clubsuit Q. East overtook and the defence took the first 5 tricks, +50 and +11 Imps to LAVAZZA.

This is what happened when the deal was replayed on BBO:

WestNorthEastSouth2♦(1)PassPassPass2●PassPassPass(1) Sound weak two opening

North led the $\clubsuit9$: three – queen – two. South cashed the $\bigstar K$ and the $\bigstar A$ and continued with the $\bigstar A$. That was mildly surprising, but it did not cost. I would have expected South to play a low club at trick 4 to have North ruff and return a diamond. Of course, North could always ruff the $\bigstar A$ anyway, but partners can be reluctant to ruff their partner's ace.

North discarded the $\forall 9$ on the $\bigstar A$ and South switched to the $\forall 3$: queen – five – king. Declarer played the $\bigstar 3$: seven – ten – ace. North cashed the $\blacklozenge K$, followed by the $\blacklozenge 6$: eight – $\bigstar 6 - \blacklozenge J$. South brought back the $\forall 6$, ace, ruffed and that was three down, North-South +300.

Problem for Tomorrow:

Dealer East : North-South vulnerable

West North East South Pass 1♠ ?

What would you do as West with:

- ★ 2
 ♥ QJ953
 ◆ Q5
- ♣ AJ1076

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?

When I found that my toaster wasn't waterproof, I was shocked.