## 348. Major misdefence

## By Ron Klinger

Dealer East : Both vulnerable

## North

- 42
$\checkmark 6$
- K108
* QJ108742

West

- J 98
$\checkmark$ Q87
- QJ43
* AK3

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Do you agree with North’s bidding?
West leads the A: two - five - six. What would you play at trick 2?
There is a good case for North bidding 3 over South's $2 \vee$ rebid. That would show $6+$ clubs and about $5-8$ points if playing natural methods. Playing in spades, the North hand has 1-2 tricks. In clubs, North is worth 5-6 tricks. If 3 does not suit, South can still bid something else.

South has shown $5+$ spades and 5 hearts. Chances are that South began with a singleton club rather than two clubs and a singleton diamond. To protect your side's winners in hearts, a trump switch is a good idea. If South has $\uparrow \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$, the spade switch does not cost a trick. Likewise if East has the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ or $\downarrow \mathrm{Q}$. Your side still comes to a spade trick if East plays the spade honour.

The deal arose in a teams' event at the New South Wales Bridge Association:

|  | North <br> - 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 6$ |  |
|  | - K108 |  |
|  | * QJ108742 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - J98 |  | - K105 |
| $\checkmark$ Q87 |  | $\checkmark$ K543 |
| - QJ43 |  | - 9652 |
| - AK3 |  | - 95 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - AQ763 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ1092 |  |
|  | - A7 |  |
|  | -6 |  |

In one match, West led the and switched to the two - ten - queen. South played the and the taken by the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$. East switched to the $\downarrow 6$ : seven - jack - king. Declarer finessed the $\uparrow \mathrm{J}$. West won and, with no further entry in dummy, played the A. South ruffed and played $\vee$ A and $\vee 9$. South lost a spade, two hearts and a club, one light, East-West +100 . Even if South had ruffed one heart, the result would still be one down.

Dealer East : Both vulnerable

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $42$ |  |
|  | - 6 |  |
|  | - K108 |  |
|  | \& QJ108742 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - J98 |  | - K105 |
| $\bullet$ Q87 |  | - K543 |
| - QJ43 |  | - 9652 |
| - AK3 |  | - 95 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - AQ763 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ1092 |  |
|  | - A7 |  |
|  | * 6 |  |

At the other table, the North-South auction went $1 \boldsymbol{\wedge}: 1 \mathrm{NT}, 4 \boldsymbol{4}: 4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$, all pass. With a $41 / 2-5$ loser hand, South’s $4 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$ is a reasonable shot. Most of the time, North will produce a better dummy.
 ruff. This was the position:


Declarer continued with the $\mathrm{J}: 5-\mathrm{A}$ A, followed by the $\uparrow$ K, diamond ruff and heart exit. East was down to $\uparrow$ K-10-5 and played the $\$$. When South's $\uparrow$ won, South had ten tricks, losing a club, a heart and a spade. That was +620 and +12 Imps to North-South

In the 7-card ending above, if East ruffs the $\boldsymbol{J}$ with the 10 or K , the defence will come to a club, a heart and two spades for one down and a tied board.


## Problem for Tomorrow:

Dealer West : Nil vulnerable

West $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
North <br>
1

$\quad$

East <br>
(1)
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Pass ?

(1) Michaels, majors at least 5-5, wide-ranging strength
What would you do as South with:

- 6
$\checkmark 62$
- J54
- AJ97642

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?
What should a clock do when it becomes hungry? Go back four seconds.

