153. Some grands are not so grand

By Ron Klinger

Dealer South : Both vulnerable

West	North	East	South 1♠
Pass	2NT ⁽¹⁾	Pass	3♣ ⁽²⁾
Pass	3 ♠ ⁽³⁾	Pass	4 ♣ ⁽⁴⁾
Pass	4 ♦ ⁽⁴⁾	Pass	4 ♥ ⁽⁴⁾
Pass	?		
(1) Game-	force, 4+ spade	s	
(2) Club sł	nortage		
(3) Please (4) Cue-bi	start cue-biddiı d	ıg.	

What would you do now as North with:

In the third quarter-final in The Major Alt, organized by bid72 and netbridgeonline, together with BBO (Bridge Base Online), GUPTA (Naren Gupta, Huub Bertens, Bauke Muller, Simon de Wijs, Thomas Bessis, Curtis Cheek, Cedric Lorenzini, USA, #3 qualifier, 125.34 Victory Points) defeated NICKELL (Nick Nickell – Ralph Katz, Eric Greco – Geoff Hampson, Bobby Levin – Steve Weinstein, Jeff Meckstroth – Eric Rodwell, USA, #5 qualifier, 119.44 VP) by 96.1-46.

Dealer South : Both vulnerable

	North ♠ AKQ9 ♥ 8	
	• A84	
	♣ J9832	
West		East
▲ J54		♠ 6
♥ J63		♥Q10754
♦ KQ976		♦ 105
♣ Q5		♣ K10764
	South	
	▲ 108732	
	♥ AK92	
	◆ J32	
	♣ A	

After the auction above, Nickell (N) bid 4NT, Katz (S) 5^{\bullet} (two key cards for spades, no spade queen) and North jumped to 7 \pm , all pass. West led the \bullet K, taken by the ace. South suffered an over-ruff in clubs later and West cashed the \bullet Q for two down, East-West +200. At the other table, it also began 1 \pm : 2NT. After South showed a minimum opening, club shortage and heart control, North bid 4 \pm , all pass, ten tricks, +620 and 13 Imps to GUPTA.

South has an opening hand plus, North has an opening hand plus. Neither hand has limited their values. At some point, one of the partners has to show minimum values for their bidding. Having bid $3 \pm$ (stronger than $4 \pm$ at that point), North should sign off in $4 \pm$ over $4 \checkmark$. With 2NT a game-force and $3 \pm$ encouraging, North has little to spare and can bid $4 \pm$ to leave further bidding to partner if partner is strong.

Dealer South : Both vulnerable



GOLDBERG North-South bid 1♠ : 2NT (game-force, 4+ spades), 3♣ (any minimum) : 3♥ (shortage), 4♠, all pass. Very efficient. South made ten tricks, +620.

SELIGMAN North-South bid $1 \ge 2$ NT (game-force, 4+ spades), $3 \ge (11-13 \text{ points}) : 3 \ge (asking for shortage), <math>3 \ge (\text{club shortage}) : 4$ NT, $5 \ge (2 \text{ key cards, no } \ge Q) : 6 \ge , all pass.$ North might well have bid $4 \ge$ over $3 \ge$. West led the \diamond K, ducked, and switched to a trump. South finished two down, -200, 13 Imps to GOLDBERG.

BIANCHI North-South began 1♠ : 2♣, 2♥ and ended in 4♠, +650.

IRELAND North-South began $1 \ge 2NT$, $3 \ge (minimum)$ and landed in $5 \ge .$ West led the $\pounds 4$, ace. Declarer crossed to the $\pounds A$, back to the $\pounds A$, ruffed the $\pounds 3$ and drew the last trump. Dummy's $\pounds J$ -9-8 holding against East's $\pounds K$ -10-7 allowed South to create a club trick and lose just one club and one diamond, +650, no swing.

STREET North-South had a good sequence: $1 \ge 3 \forall$ (strong hand, an undisclosed shortage), $3 \ge$ (which shortage?) : $4 \forall$ (heart singleton), $4 \ge$, all pass, $\diamond K$ lead, ten tricks, +620.

This was yesterday's second problem:

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

West	North	East	South
	4♥	5•	Pass
6♦	Pass	Pass	?

What would you do as South with:

- **▲** QJ93
- ♥ 432
- **4**3
- ♣ 8653

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

	North ▲ 102 ♥ KQ1098765 ◆ 6 ▲ A7	
West		East
♠ A8765		♠ K4
♥ AJ		Y
♦ J85		AKQ10972
♣ K94		♣ QJ102
	South	
	♠ QJ93	
	♥ 432	
	♦ 43	
	♣ 8653	

For NICKELL, after the auction above, Ralph Katz (S) bid 6♥. West doubled, all pass. Declarer lost 2 spades, a heart, a diamond and a club, four down, -800. As 6♦ was unbeatable, East-West +1370, this was a great save. At the other table, East-West had an accident:

West	North	East	South
	1♥	Dble	2♥
4♠	5♥	6♦	Pass
7♦	Dble	Pass	Pass
7NT	Dble	All Pass	

North led the A, North-South +200, 14 Imps to GUPTA. Given North's 1 \forall opening and 5 \forall , perhaps 7 \diamond was imprudent. If West had passed 6 \diamond , would North bid 6 \forall ? We'll never know, but I would bet 'Yes'.

In GOLDBERG vs SELIGMAN, it went $4 \forall : 5 \diamond :$ Pass : $6 \diamond$, all pass, at both tables, +1370, no swing. South might have contemplated bidding $6 \forall$, but for all South knew, East-West might be cold for $7 \diamond$. Switch West's $\forall A$ and $\Rightarrow 9$ for North's $\Rightarrow A$ and $\forall 9$ and $7 \diamond$ is laydown.

STREET North-South: 4♥ : 5♦ : Pass : 6♦, all pass, East-West +1370.

LAVAZZA North-South:

West	North	East	South
	3NT ⁽¹⁾	5♦	Pass
6♦	Pass	Pass	6 ♥ ⁽²⁾
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass
(1) 4-level	pre-empt in he	arts or in spac	des

(2) Pass or correct

Declarer was four down, -800, but 11 Imps to LAVAZZA.

IRELAND North-South:

West	North	East	South
	1♥	2♦	Pass
2♠	4♥	5♦	All Pass
East-West	+620.		

BIANCHI North-South:

West	North	East	South
	4♥	5♦	Pass
5 ♥ ⁽¹⁾	Pass	5 ♠ ⁽²⁾	Pass
6♦	Pass	Pass	Pass
(1) Strong	hand, control i	n hearts	
(2) Spade of	control		

East-West +1370, 13 Imps to IRELAND

Problems for Tomorrow:

1. Dealer South : Both vulnerable

West North East South Pass $3 \bigstar^{(1)}$ Pass ? (1) 4+ spades, 10-12 points

What would you do now as South with:

▲ AK10643
♥ AQ4
◆ -◆ A874

- -

2. Dealer West : North-South vulnerable

WestNorthEastSouthPass $2 \bullet^{(1)}$?(1) Artificial, game-force or 23+ balanced

What would you do as East with:

◆ 9
♥ 85
◆ K109654
◆ K1072

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?

It's the start of a brand new day and I'm off like a herd of turtles.