## 152. When to push

## By Ron Klinger

In the second quarter-final match in ALT-OPEN, organized by bid72 and netbridgeonline, together with BBO (Bridge Base Online), BIANCHI (Reno Bianchi - Leonardo Cima, Giovanni Donati - Valerio Giubilo, Alessandro Gandoglia - Alfredo Versace, Italy, \#2 qualifier, 130.31 Victory Points) defeated IRELAND (Grainne Barton, John Caroll, Nick Fitzgibbon, Tom Hanlon, Hugh McGann, Adam Mesbur, Mark Moran, \#8 qualifier, 113.44 VP) by 91.1-59.

Dealer South : Both vulnerable

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \boldsymbol{n}^{(2)}$ | $?$ |  |  |

(1) 14-16
(2) Single-suited in a major or a strong 5-5, major + minor

What would you do as North with:

```
-109
\bullet Q43
Q Q87
& KQ732
```

There is an outside chance of game, but most of the time, you figure to make a part-score your way. Partner is likely to have three clubs, but that is no certainty. If there is no game for you, then with a balanced hand you are usually best off to defend. It can hardly hurt to pass and see what develops. You can still compete later if the level is right.

Board 20: Dealer South : Both vulnerable

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $109$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q43 |  |
|  | - Q87 |  |
|  | - KQ732 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - AKJ432 |  | - 76 |
| - 86 |  | $\checkmark 1092$ |
| - AJ942 |  | - 1053 |
| \& -- |  | - A10965 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - Q85 |  |
|  | - AKJ75 |  |
|  | - K6 |  |
|  | \& J84 |  |

IRELAND North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \star^{(2)}$ | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(3)}$ | Pass |  |
| Dble $^{(4)}$ | Pass | Pass $^{(5)}$ | Pass |

(1) 14-16
(2) Single-suited in a major or a strong 5-5, major + minor
(3) Puppet to 3e, invitational plus
(4) For takeout, major + diamonds
(5) For penalties

Board 20: Dealer South : Both vulnerable

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $109$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q43 |  |
|  | - Q87 |  |
|  | \& KQ732 |  |
| West East |  |  |
| - AKJ432 |  | - 76 |
| $\checkmark 86$ |  | -1092 |
| - AJ942 |  | - 1053 |
| \& -- |  | * A10965 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ^ Q85 |  |
|  | - AKJ75 |  |
|  | - K6 |  |
|  | \& J84 |  |

 switched to the $\$ 5$, six, ace. That was four tricks for the defence and South still had two clubs to lose, two down, North-South -500.

BIANCHI North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 N T$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble $^{(1)}$ | Rdbl $^{(2)}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Dble $^{(3)}$ <br> $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ |
| Dble $^{(4)}$ | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(1) 4-Major, 5-minor OR strong with long major
(2) Points
(3) 2-3 clubs
(4) 2-3 spades

West led the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ K and 2 (for clubs). Declarer ruffed with dummy's $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ and drew trumps. South still had a club and a diamond to lose, but had 9 tricks, +140 and 12 Imps to BIANCHI.

GOLDBERG North-South:

| West | North | East | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & 1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dble ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{Rdbl}^{(3)}$ | Pass | 2 $\downarrow$ |
| 2^ | 32 | All Pass |  |
| (1) (14) 15-17 |  |  |  |
| (2) Penalties |  |  |  |
| (3) Points |  |  |  |

East led the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$, five, jack. West played $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ K, North ruffed with the $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and finished three down, -300 .
SELIGMAN North-South:

| West | North | East | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & 1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dble ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3e ${ }^{(3)}$ | Pass | $3 \times$ |
| Pass | 4 | All P |  |
| (1) $14+-17$ |  |  |  |
| (2) Major + minor or strong major 1-suiter <br> (3) Artificial, asking for a 5-card major |  |  |  |

West led the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, K and the $\uparrow 2$, ruffed with the Q . Declarer could not avoid losing two tricks to the minor suit aces and that was one down, -100 but 5 Imps to SELIGMAN .

Board 20: Dealer South : Both vulnerable

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $109$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q43 |  |
|  | - Q87 |  |
|  | \& KQ732 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - AKJ432 |  | - 76 |
| $\checkmark 86$ |  | -1092 |
| - AJ942 |  | - 1053 |
| * -- |  | - A10965 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - Q85 |  |
|  | - AKJ75 |  |
|  | - K6 |  |
|  | \& J84 |  |

GUPTA North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3NT | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) 15-17
(2) Clubs or diamonds or majors or strong hand

West led $\uparrow \mathrm{K}, \uparrow 4$. South won and ran the hearts. West discarded three diamonds. When South played the $\uparrow 6$, West grabbed the $\star$ A, cashed three spades and gave South a diamond at the end. Two down, East-West +500 .

NICKELL North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 N T$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \downarrow^{(1)}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}^{(2)}$ | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(3)}$ |
| 3 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) Good hand, long major
(2) Range inquiry or long clubs
(3) Minimum

North led the 2 : ace - four - $\uparrow 6$. After $\$$ : six - jack - queen, North returned a club. West ruffed and played $\star$ A, $\uparrow$ K, spade ruff, $\uparrow 10$ - king - ace for 11 tricks, +150 but 8 Imps to NICKELL.

: Pass, Pass : Double, all pass. The defence took $\uparrow \mathrm{A}, \stackrel{\mathrm{K}}{ }$ and the minor aces, one off, East-West +200 .
LAVAZZA East-West:

| West | North | East | South <br> 1 NT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{v}$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{a}$ | All Pass |

(1) Spades and diamonds

North led $\boldsymbol{K}$ : ace - eight $-\boldsymbol{\sim}$. Declarer finessed the $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$, cashed $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ A, $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ K and set about the diamonds. West lost a heart and two diamonds, but had ten tricks, +620 and 9 Imps to LAVAZZA. On any lead but a club, $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ could be defeated. So much for not leading partner's suit.

Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Dble $^{(2)}$ | ? |

(1) Strong 1*. 16+ points
(2) $8+$ points, game-force

What would you do as South with:

```
@ 9653
 J7
-98
* A9532
```

Board 21: Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

|  | North <br> - AK1082 <br> $\checkmark 43$ <br> - J1075 <br> - 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West |  | East |
| , Q4 |  | - J7 |
| $\checkmark$ AK10652 |  | - Q98 |
| - AK4 |  | - Q632 |
| * QJ |  | + K1074 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 9653 |  |
|  | - J7 |  |
|  | - 98 |  |
|  | - A9532 |  |

IRELAND East-West:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$ | Dble $^{(2)}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{4}!$ |
| $5 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) Strong $1 \boldsymbol{e} .16+$ points
(2) $8+$ points, game-force

The Achilles heel of the strong opening is intervention and pre-emption. Giovanni Donati (S) made the most of the vulnerability with that jump to $4 \boldsymbol{4}$. East-West could have collected 300 from $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ doubled, but when West elected to bid $5 \uparrow$, the result was one off, North-South +100 . North led $\uparrow$ A, $\uparrow$ K and $\uparrow 8$. South had played $\uparrow \mathbf{~} \uparrow 5$, suit-preference for clubs, although it was not necessary. West's club loser was not going anywhere.

## BIANCHI East-West:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \checkmark$ | 14. | 2 $\downarrow$ | 34 |
| Dble ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

(1) Strong hand, primarily take-out

Lead: $\downarrow$ K. 10 tricks, +620 , 11 Imps to BIANCHI.
In the other three matches, the contract at each table was $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ doubled, East-West +300 , no swing. The auctions were generally along the line of $1 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}: 1 \boldsymbol{\wedge}: 2 \boldsymbol{\bullet}: 3 \boldsymbol{\wedge}, 4 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}: 4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ : Pass : Pass, Double, all pass. Only one other South jumped to 4 4 on the first round of bidding. There it went $1 \boldsymbol{*}$ (artificial, strong) : 1 : 2 (8+ balanced, no spade stopper) : 4^, Pass (asking partner to double) : Pass : Double, all pass.

## Problems for Tomorrow:

1. Dealer South : Both vulnerable

| West | North | East | South <br> $1 \boldsymbol{~}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{e}^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\iota}^{(3)}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}^{(4)}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(4)}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{v}^{(4)}$ |
| Pass | $?$ |  |  |

(1) Game-force, $4+$ spades
(2) Club shortage
(3) Please start cue-bidding.
(4) Cue-bid

What would you do now as North with:

- AKQ9
$\checkmark 8$
- A84

2 J9832
2. Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $4 \downarrow$ | 5 |  |
| $6 *$ | Pass | Pass | $?$ |

What would you do as South with:

- QJ93
- 432
- 43
- 8653

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?
I speak my mind, because it hurts too much to bite my tongue every time.

