## 104. The perils of pre-empts

## By Ron Klinger

Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

West North East | South |
| :--- |

Dble ${ }^{(1)} \quad$ ?
(1) For takeout

What would you do as North with:

```
@ KJ8
 J8
* 10652
& Q973
```

Today's deals are from the semi-finals of Alt-Invitational IV, organized by bid72, bridge 24 and netbridgeonline, together with BBO (Bridge Base Online). At the end of the 7-match round-robin, the leaders were:

1. STREET Paul Street, Kamel Fergani, Nicolas L'Ecuyer, Ron Pachtman, Fred Pollack, Piotr Zatorski, 81.71
2. ZHAO Zhao Chen, Liu Jing, Bauke Muller, Ricco van Prooijen, Louk Verhees, Simon de Wijs 79.77
3. DE BOTTON Janet de Botton, Thomas Charlsen, Jason Hackett, Thor Erik Hoftaniska, Alexander Hydes Artur Malinowski
4. BLASS Josef Blass, Sjoert Brink, Bas Drijver, Jacek Kalita, Michael Nowosadski, Jacek Pszczola (Pepsi) 75.31

For the semi-finals, STREET chose DE BOTTON and won by 66.1-37. In the other semi-final, BLASS defeated ZHAO by 73-50.1.

Board 3: Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

```
            North
            @ KJ8
                     J8
                    -10652
                    & Q973
```

| West |  | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A -- |  | - A954 |
| $\checkmark$ A932 |  | $\bullet$ KQ4 |
| - KQJ93 |  | - A4 |
| - AJ102 |  | - 8654 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - Q107632 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10765$ |  |
|  | -87 |  |
|  | - K |  |

ZHAO North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br>  <br> Dble |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| $5 \uparrow$ | $4 \boldsymbol{\imath}$ ? | Dble $^{(2)}$ | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 6 | All Pass |

(1) For takeout
(2) Do what's right, partner

Unless you can defeat a slam that the opponents might reach, there seems little benefit in raising a pre-empt with a modest balanced hand. It simply helps the opponents judge their fit.

|  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - KJ8 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | -10652 |  |
|  | - Q973 |  |
| West |  | East |
| A -- |  | - A954 |
| $\checkmark$ A932 |  | - KQ4 |
| - KQJ93 |  | - A4 |
| - AJ102 |  | - 8654 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ^ Q107632 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10765$ |  |
|  | - 87 |  |
|  | - K |  |

North led the $\uparrow$ : nine - ten $-\uparrow$. West played $\uparrow$ A, $\uparrow$ K and drew North’s trumps. South threw $\uparrow 2$, $\uparrow$. After $\downarrow 2$ to the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, West played the 4 , king, ace, and the ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}$, taken by the queen. North exited with the J , taken by the $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$. Declarer continued with the $\uparrow$ : seven - $2-\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

Now West had an almost certain count on the hand. With $\boldsymbol{\mathrm { J }}$-8 only, North would probably not have raised spades and would have led the $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. Therefore, North began with four clubs (proven), four diamonds (proven), three spades almost certainly and thus only two hearts. West finessed the $\uparrow 9$ for 12 tricks, +1370 .

What would have happened if the bidding had started 3 $\mathbf{~}$ : Double : Pass? East would have bid 3NT and West would very likely pass that.

BLASS North-South:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 *$ | Pass | 14. | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $3{ }^{(1)}$ | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 52 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) Fourth-suit forcing

South led the $\uparrow$, taken by the $¥$. After 4 , king, ace, and the $\mathbf{~ J}$, taken by the when South showed out, East-West +600 but -13 Imps. Note South's pass. Not everyone pre-empts with a poor 6 -card suit and a 4 -card major on the side, even at favourable vulnerability.

In the other semi-final:
DE BOTTON North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

South led the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$. East made ten tricks, +630 .
STREET North-South:

| West | North | East | South <br> $2 \boldsymbol{v}^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dble | $3 \boldsymbol{u}^{(2)}$ | 3NT | All Pass |

(1) Multi $2 \downarrow$, weak two in hearts or in spades
(2) Pass or correct

South led the $\uparrow 8$. East made 12 tricks, +690 and 2 Imps to DE BOTTON.

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $?$ |  |  |

What would you do as North with:

```
@ 63
* AK98
- K3
& 106432
```

Board 3: Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

|  | North <br> - 63 <br> - AK98 <br> - K3 <br> - 106432 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West |  | East |
| - AJ104 |  | - KQ98 |
| $\checkmark 632$ |  | -10 |
| - AJ5 |  | -1087642 |
| * J75 |  | - A 9 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 752 |  |
|  | - QJ754 |  |
|  | - Q9 |  |
|  | * KQ8 |  |

ZHAO North-South:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \vee ?$ | Dble $^{(1)}$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Most play $1 \vee: 4$ as a pre-emptive raise. Even though North-South are playing a strong $1 *$ auction, North does better by showing a limit-raise type hand. For a start, that does not punish partner for opening light third-inhand. Secondly, it has a better chance of keeping the opponents quiet if you advertise strength.

Here the pre-emptive raise persuaded East to double for takeout. That was not safe, but it worked out well. West might have passed for penalties. That would have collected 100 for $4 \vee$ doubled one off. West judged that East would be short in hearts and, with nothing wasted in hearts, West bid 4^, all pass.

North led the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ and switched to the 2 . West took the A and played $\star \mathrm{A}$ and $\leqslant$. West lost only a heart, a diamond and a club, East-West +620 with just a combined 20 count.

BLASS North-South:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}^{(1)}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\varsigma}^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{(3)}$ | Pass | Pass $^{(4)}$ |
| Pass |  |  |  |

(1) Maximum pass with support for hearts
(2) This is high enough for me.
(3) Are you sure?
(4) Yes.

South lost two spades, a diamond and a club, nine tricks, +140 and 13 Imps to BLASS.

Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

```
    North
    4.63
    \bullet AK98
    * K3
    & 106432
```

West
AJ104
East
- KQ98
$\bullet 632$

- 10
- AJ5
- 1087642
- J75
- A 9

South

- 752
- QJ754
- Q9
* KQ8

In the other semi-final:
STREET North-South:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{v}^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathbf{v}^{(3)}$ | All Pass |  |

(1) Maximum pass, $4+$ heart support
(2) This is as far as I want to go.
(3) Respecting partner's sign-off is so passé.

West led the $\vee 6$. South made 9 tricks, one off, -50 .
DE BOTTON North-South:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \vee$ |
| Pass | 29 ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2 | $2 \vee$ |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | Pass |
| 4. | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(1) Maximum pass, $4+$ hearts

South led the v . East made ten tricks, +620 , 11 Imps to STREET.

## Problems for Tomorrow:

1. Dealer East : North-South vulnerable

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | 1 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $?$ |

What would you do as South with:

- KQ842
- Q85
- 9
* KQ109

2. Dealer South : Both vulnerable

| West | North | East | South <br> Pas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $4 凶$ | Pass | $?$ |

What would you do as South with:

- K10762
$\checkmark$ A4
- AK75
- 83

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?
I feel that I should clean the house, so I am heading out to the garden until that feeling passes.

