Thursday January $19^{\text {th }} 2006$

## Entries for pairs events over the weekend

Please see the Festival Desk Secretaries, Pam and Sue if you wish to enter the weekend pairs events or if you need a partner for one of these.

## Session Times Thursday 11 am 3 pm <br> 8 pm

Last Train Sessions
11 am
1 pm
4 pm
6 pm

## Session Times Friday

10 am 12 noon $3 \mathrm{pm} \quad 5.30 \mathrm{pm}$

Cocktail Party and Prizegiving for the Non-Life Masters Teams Geneva/Nyanza Room at Rydges at 7 pm

## Bus Schedule

## Before Each Session

The Summer Festival Bus Leaves James Court Apartments approximately $11 / 2$ Hours before each session and 40 minutes before each session It leaves West Row 5 minutes later
Buses rotate between Rydges and the NCC every 10 minutes beginning 1 hour 20 minutes before each session

## End Of Session

Buses begin leaving the venues after 2 hours of session time and rotate every 10 minutes

The ABF gratefully acknowledges the support of

## The Same Old Story

How often are we told that the decision at trick one is critical? I saw yet another example in the first round of the Seniors Teams when Bill Haughie and Zolly Nagy were playing Valerie and John Rose. Bill had shown a variety of hands (including a minor 2 suiter) with a multi 2D but John grabbed the helm with a 3 H bid.

Bill led the 3 of clubs and John had to decide what to play from dummy, looking at:

- 1085
- K J 432
- J 4
- J 9642
- AK10 962
- K 109
- A Q
$\approx$

John went with the King, which led to a fairly brutal defence on this complete layout.

Dealer: S

- Q 97
- Q 853

Vul: EW

- 5
* A Q 875
- 1085
- J 4
- J 9642
* K 109
- KJ432
- AK 10962
- A Q
$*$
- A 6
$\bullet 7$
-K 10873
*J 642

Zolly played the Ace and John ruffed. Two rounds of trumps saw Bill discard a diamond. John tried Ace and Queen of diamonds next, with Zolly pitching a club on Bill's King. Bill continued a club to Zolly's Queen and John pitched a spade. Two further rounds of clubs followed as John continued to pitch spades. Zolly exited a spade to John's Jack and Bill's Ace for the first undertrick and Zolly still had the Queen of trumps coming.

If John can find the 9 of clubs at trick one, then he would be able to play 3 rounds of trumps without having to worry about being tapped off, as dummy's King would protect against continued club plays. Declarer could then concede a couple of spades to make his contract.

John and Valerie got some back when John made a couple of good bidding decisions on board 15.

Looking at

- Q J 1065 • 9 •AK $874 ヶ$ A 5

He saw Bill open 1C, and Zolly reply 1H. John chose a simple 1S and after the auction continued 2H from Bill and 3C from Zolly, John opted for 3D. This lit Valerie's fuse: perhaps not surprisingly, on this layout.

Dealer: S a K 942
Vul: NS

- K 1074
*K 7642
- 83
- Q J 1065
- A 632
- 9
- Q 109532
-AK 874
* 8
* A 5

A A 7

- QJ 85
- J 6
* Q J 1093

Valerie's bid of 5D did not present many problems for John. Other partnerships managed the auction to less effect; an inspection of the datum sheets showed a number of East-Wests playing partials, going down in 4S or defending North-South part scores. Admittedly, two declarers joined John in 5D but were lucky enough to get doubled!

Bill and Zolly were in unforgiving defensive mode throughout the match. Board 9 saw John and Valerie having doubts about Zolly's veracity in the auction and ending up overboard.

Dealer: N
$\wedge$ Q

- J 9763
- AK 103
* K Q 9
- K J 84
- 10852
- 965
- AK Q
- Q 964
- 8
* J
*A76432
^A 10732
- 4
- J 752
$\because 10$
Zolly opened an impeccable 1H from North, but John was the first to have doubts about this peccability when Valerie responded 2NT to his 2C overcall. Valerie's time for doubt came when John put his dummy down after bidding the nine trick game - AKQ of hearts were somewhat unexpected.

The 6 of hearts lead was taken on table and Valerie tried the effect of running the 9 of spades. Zolly took that and switched to the King of clubs. Valerie ducked and ducked again when Zolly persisted with the Queen, on which declarer dropped a heart. The Ace, King and a small diamond followed putting

Valerie in hand. Bill still had the Ace of spades and a diamond trick to come for 3 off.
This same board caused Ted Chadwick a certain amount of wry amusement as he followed his own teaching precepts on defence. Ted tells me that one message he instils strongly into his students is not to lead declarer's first bid suit. He disobeyed his partner in refusing to do this with gratifying effect.
(Hand repeated for convenience)
Dealer: N
$\wedge Q$

- J 9763

Vul: EW

- AK 103
* K Q 9
- K J 84
- 10852
- 965
- $A K Q$
- Q 964
- 8
\& J
*A76432
^A 10732
- 4
- J 752
- 10

North opened 1H and subsided in 2D. Martin Bloom led the Ace of clubs and continued the 2 for Ted to ruff. The 2 instructed Ted to return a heart but his pedagogic principles would not allow this. Perversely, he decided on a spade return, choosing the King in case declarer had a singleton Queen! This had a most pleasing effect. When the smoke cleared, it showed a plus in the Bloom/Chadwick column. If Ted returns the demanded heart, then he will get a second ruff, but declarer now has trump control and can ruff out the heart suit for his contract.

## Tim Bourke's Problem

2. ORDERLY

Dealer West; Nil Vul.
NORTH

- 6
- AJ 9842
-K 432
- J 7

SOUTH
-AK 2

- $Q$
- A 9865
- A 954


## West North East South <br> 14 2v Pass 3NT <br> All pass

West leads the queen of spades against and while you have nine easy tricks when diamonds are 2-2, what are your plans to cater for a 3-1 or 4-0 diamond break?

## THE AUSTRALIAN BRIDGE TEACHERS ASSOCIATION

## ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

## Sunday 9:30am 22nd January (downstairs Rydges)

## Everyone welcome, whether a member teacher or not!

## Items for discussion:

1) Elections.
2) Website to solve problems with hints for teachers.
3) Allocation of funds from recent ABF grant.
4) Seminars.
5) Travelling teachers and subsidies.

For any enquiries phone Lorraine Harkness Mobile : 0409220761 or I will be available at enquiry desk for 15 minutes after each seniors session at Rydges for Discussions.

## Exploring Possibilities

Board 9 in the second round of Seniors qualifying saw all sorts of defensive possibilities against 3NT - though none were fated to succeed.

Dealer: N 52

- AKJ962

Vul: EW

- K 75
\& 109
- Q 1086
- 108
- 10864
-Q 753
- 75
- Q J 3
\& $A 75$
*K J 43
A AKJ73
$\bullet 4$
- A 92
*Q 862
At the table I kibitzed, South shot 3NT after North had twice offered hearts. A diamond was led to East's Jack and declarer allowed this to hold. The Queen of diamonds was continued to the Ace and declarer took a losing heart finesse. What now for East? The situation is obviously desperate. Declarer has 2 diamond tricks and 5 hearts (just look at those defensive heart spots). The defence needs 3 tricks quickly. Some possibilities:

1) Try spades, maybe partner has got declarer's spades surrounded?
2) Try the King of clubs and a small club. An attempt to con declarer into believing that you have either the Ace or Queen and then, maybe he'll waste his honour - if it's the Ace partner may have spade control and if it's the Queen maybe he'll play it.
3) Play the Jack of clubs. If partner as the Ace of clubs or Ace of spades and Queen of clubs then the key card becomes the 8 of clubs. Transpose the 8 and 7 of clubs above and the Jack is highly successful.

Of course, on the actual layout, it was all just a mental exercise. Even I would make 9 tricks.

## SOLUTIONTO TIM BOURKE'S PROBLEM

After taking the first trick with the ace of spades, cash the ace of diamonds. If all follow, your next move should be the queen of hearts, intending to run it if West plays low. Should East produce the king of hearts you will need either diamonds 2-2 or the ten of hearts to fall in three rounds of the suit.On the other hand, when the queen of hearts holds you can make certain of your contract! Simply play a diamond to the king and cash the ace of hearts, discarding a club from hand. When diamonds turn out to be 3-1, concede a diamond. You will make two spades, two hearts, four diamonds and a club or your contract. If diamonds are 2-2 the result is an overtrick.

A careless play of the ace-king of diamonds before hearts may see you never getting a second heart trick when diamonds are 3-1.

If diamonds prove to be 4-0, as here:

```
- 6
- AJ 9842
-K 432
- J 7
- 9743
- 107
- Q J 107
- 832
A A K 2
\(\bullet\) Q
-A 9865
- A 1054
```

- Q J 1085
- K 653
- 
* K Q 96

You have to fall back on the heart suit to produce five tricks. Again lead the queen of hearts at trick two. Of course West will play low from this holding and if you do likewise the contract will fail. You must counter by overtaking the queen of hearts with the ace and continuing with the jack of hearts. It is the only way to make five tricks in the suit; you scoop East's doubleton ten of hearts and make ten tricks. Any other plan sees the contract fail.

## An Important Lead

Board 14 in the second round of Seniors qualifying saw one North face a lead decision with lots at stake. Unfortunately, I don't have the auction but put yourself as North on the layout below and select your lead against 6Hxx.

| Dealer: E | - Q 97652 <br> - 102 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Nil | -K954 |  |
|  | - 6 |  |
| - 104 |  | - J 83 |
| - AKQJ9865 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| - 2 |  | - A J 76 |
| - A Q |  | \& K J 10974 |
|  | - AK |  |
|  | - 743 |  |
|  | -Q1083 |  |
|  | \& 8532 |  |

This was at one of the datum tables and the sheet shows -1820 as North failed to find a spade.

The datum sheet didn't show anyone else making slam. There were some 510's and 450's together with a couple of 50's. Two Wests chose to defend

4S (one doubled) which shows a lot more self-discipline than I possess. Paul Wyer felt that his opponents were a little unlucky though his opinion may have changed after the score-up. East opened the bidding with a Precision type 2C and West blasted into Keycard. The Ace of diamonds and King of clubs saw East bid 5 H and become declarer in 6 H . This (good news) hid the opening bid from defensive sight but (bad news) meant the Ace and King of spades were on lead.

Paul had some hopes that his team-mates might find their way to 6 H from West, however... a wee breakdown in communication saw the other table try 5C!.

DeepFinesse has this as make-able but in real life it was too tough and that was just another push.

## DINING AT RYDGES

Thursday, Friday, Saturday
Seafood Buffet in BG Skyline Restaurant - $15^{\text {th }}$ floor

King prawns
Morton Bay bugs
Fresh Australian oysters
Fresh cracked crab
Smoked Rainbow trout
Tasmanian Atlantic salmon
Fresh nori
Green lipped mussels with aged sherry salsa
Lakeside antipasto with premium selection of smoked meats
Marinated baby octopus sweet chilli calamari Hokkien noodle and soy chicken salad Balsamic roasted vegetable salad

A selection of roast meats and sauces
Seafood pasta
Butter chicken

Rich assorted gateaux's and tortes
Fresh fruit pavlova
Warm white chocolate bread and butter pudding Selection of Australian cheese

Fresh seasonal fruit

## \$34 (normal price \$48)

 Book on 2496
## The Loo Coup

It had been a long session. By the end of board 19 certain needs were pressing. Tania Lloyd sorted her cards while partner, Jill Courtney took off for a comfort stop. Tania was contemplating
^J10965 H •Q10975 \& A 9
Jill returned and dealer, to Tania's left, started the auction with a pass. Two more passes followed and Tania was left to decide whether or not to open. Pearson count suggests that she should and she couldn't see 1S getting into too much trouble. She and Jill play 5 card weak 2's so she wasn't going to be faced with a 2 H response. Tania took the plunge - 1S. Pass from dealer and then an unexpected development - Jill leapt straight to the spade game. Tania awaited dummy with interest. The 6 of clubs was led and this layout eventuated:

Dealer: W
Vul : All

- Q 8
- Q 9832
- 

\& J 107632

- K 742
- A 75
- K J 83
\& K Q
$\rightarrow$ A 3
- J 1064
-A 642
* 854
- J 10965
- K
- Q 10975
* A 9

Yes, Jill had been sufficiently distracted by her break from the table as to pass a flat 16.

The lead was covered by dummy's King and declarer's Ace. The Jack of spades drew 100 honours and East continued clubs, enabling Tania to make 11 tricks for a 1 imp pickup.

The Pearson decision to open 1S, however, represented a 12 imp gain over passing the board in.

## Karapet The Unlucky

By Hilda Lirsch
This deal occurred in a friendly rubber at the Griffins.
Papa the Greek sat North, facing Karapet the Unlucky Armenian while the Hideous Hog was West in tandem with the Rueful Rabbit.

| Dealer : East | - K 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - K 109 |
| Vul : EW | -K97 |
|  | \& Q 932 |

## - 642 <br> - J 7

- 7543
- J10 843
$\because 5$
- AQJ86
- A Q
* 10764
^A Q 10985
- 2
- 652
- K J 8

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HH | Papa | RR | Karapet |
|  |  | $1 H$ | 1 H |
| P | $2 H$ | P | $3 S$ |
| P | 4 S | end |  |

The Hog led the 3 of hearts to dummy's 9 and the Rabbit's Jack. He Rabbit switched to the 4 of clubs, won by Karapet's King.

As an expert, Karapet easily found the "safety" play of immediately running the 10 of trumps; a classic avoidance play to guard against the unlucky layout of the Hog holding Jxxx in trumps.

The Rabbit choked on his cherry brandy when his Jack of trumps took a totally unexpected trick. He led another club for the Hog to ruff. A diamond return saw the Rabbit cash the Queen and Ace before giving the Hog a club ruff. The Hog played a third diamond for the Rabbit to ruff - unlucky 4 off when 11 tricks were available by simply playing trumps from the top.
"You idiot!", Papa yelled, "you should have seen that the Rabbit switched to the 4 of clubs and he always plays fourth best!"

## DATUMS

## Womens

Session1

| Board | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -60 |
| 2 | -100 |
| 3 | 20 |
| 4 | 1290 |
| 5 | 70 |
| 6 | -10 |
| 7 | -90 |
| 8 | -20 |
| 9 | 60 |
| 10 | -360 |
| 11 | -110 |
| 12 | 60 |
| 13 | -520 |
| 14 | 430 |
| 15 | 40 |
| 16 | 380 |
| 17 | -430 |
| 18 | -140 |
| 19 | 160 |
| 20 | -170 |

Session2

| Board | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -420 |
| 2 | 620 |
| 3 | -70 |
| 4 | -60 |
| 5 | -240 |
| 6 | 200 |
| 7 | 110 |
| 8 | -310 |
| 9 | 350 |
| 10 | -80 |
| 11 | 40 |
| 12 | 560 |
| 13 | -20 |
| 14 | -420 |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 40 |
| 17 | -80 |
| 18 | 550 |
| 19 | -120 |
| 20 | -10 |

Session3

| Board | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -400 |
| 2 | -20 |
| 3 | -210 |
| 4 | -20 |
| 5 | -330 |
| 6 | -470 |
| 7 | -470 |
| 8 | 100 |
| 9 | 260 |
| 10 | 480 |
| 11 | -10 |
| 12 | -120 |
| 13 | 760 |
| 14 | -160 |
| 15 | -250 |
| 16 | -550 |
| 17 | -150 |
| 18 | 70 |
| 19 | -430 |
| 20 | 640 |

## Seniors

| Session1 |
| :--- |
| Board Score <br> 1 100 <br> 2 -40 <br> 3 40 <br> 4 1430 <br> 5 30 <br> 6 40 <br> 7 -140 <br> 8 -50 <br> 9 90 <br> 10 -180 <br> 11 -100 <br> 12 50 <br> 13 -460 <br> 14 430 <br> 15 -290 <br> 16 460 <br> 17 -420 <br> 18 -150 <br> 19 110 <br> 20 -110 |

Session2

| Board | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -460 |
| 2 | 620 |
| 3 | -80 |
| 4 | -30 |
| 5 | -300 |
| 6 | 170 |
| 7 | 50 |
| 8 | -430 |
| 9 | 280 |
| 10 | -150 |
| 11 | 50 |
| 12 | 640 |
| 13 | 60 |
| 14 | -500 |
| 15 | 20 |
| 16 | 120 |
| 17 | -50 |
| 18 | 380 |
| 19 | -120 |
| 20 | -10 |

Session3

| Board | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -450 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | -200 |
| 4 | -140 |
| 5 | -260 |
| 6 | -500 |
| 7 | -620 |
| 8 | 90 |
| 9 | 270 |
| 10 | 600 |
| 11 | 50 |
| 12 | -100 |
| 13 | 910 |
| 14 | -130 |
| 15 | -330 |
| 16 | -630 |
| 17 | -150 |
| 18 | 60 |
| 19 | -480 |
| 20 | 630 |

Progress Scores
Seniors

| Place | Name | Score | Imps |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Neill | 71 | 134 |
| 2 | Puskas | 68 | 143 |
| 3 | Chadwick | 68 | 129 |
| 4 | Buchen | 65 | 123 |
| 5 | Bock | 64 | 92 |
| 6 | Wyer | 62 | 91 |
| 7 | Dudley | 61 | 81 |
| 8 | Gaspar | 60 | 112 |
| 9 | Gallus | 60 | 76 |
| 10 | Griffiths | 60 | 76 |
| 11 | Hoffeins | 60 | 75 |
| 12 | Krochmalik | 59 | 100 |
| 13 | Halmos | 59 | 72 |
| 14 | Varadi | 59 | 67 |
| 15 | Prince | 59 | 67 |
| 16 | Rutkowski | 58 | 65 |
| 17 | Elson | 57 | 104 |
| 18 | Thorp | 57 | 63 |
| 19 | Chrapot | 56 | 60 |
| 20 | Clifton | 54 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |

Womens

| Place | Name | Score | Imps |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bourke | 67 | 115 |
| 2 | Mott | 67 | 111 |
| 3 | Creet | 61 | 77 |
| 4 | Kaplan | 59 | 72 |
| 5 | Greenfeld | 59 | 67 |
| 6 | Travis | 58 | 67 |
| 7 | Free | 56 | 62 |
| 8 | Zotti | 56 | 54 |
| 9 | Evans | 53 | 36 |
| 10 | Hawke | 52 | 43 |
| 11 | Broad | 52 | 41 |
| 12 | Watts | 51 | 25 |
| 13 | Yuill | 50 | 27 |
| 14 | Molloy | 50 | 25 |
| 15 | Bashar | 50 | 22 |
| 16 | Motteram | 49 | 25 |
| 17 | Neale | 48 | 16 |
| 18 | Courtney | 48 | 10 |
| 19 | Stralow | 46 | 21 |
| 20 | Obenchain | 46 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |

Non-Life

| Place | Name | Score | Imps |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Reitzer | 61 | 84 |
| 2 | Moss | 60 | 69 |
| 3 | Cariola | 57 | 56 |
| 4 | Gilbert | 55 | 58 |
| 5 | Starr | 54 | 80 |
| 6 | Woodward | 53 | 41 |
| 7 | Christiaen | 53 | 37 |
| 8 | Kieran | 53 | 35 |
| 9 | Boxall | 52 | 38 |
| 10 | McAlary | 51 | 31 |
| 11 | Carter | 51 | 27 |
| 12 | Smart | 48 | 11 |
| 13 | Kennedy | 42 | -13 |
| 14 | Houghton | 41 | -15 |
| 15 | Gooley | 41 | -19 |
| 16 | Crompton | 41 | -19 |
| 17 | Barrett | 40 | -6 |
| 18 | Slaughter | 40 | -23 |
| 19 | White | 39 | -34 |
| 20 | Day | 38 | -33 |
|  |  |  |  |

Novice

| Place | Name | Score | Imps |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Muir | 65 | 100 |
| 2 | Lam | 62 | 89 |
| 3 | Higgins | 55 | 51 |
| 4 | Leckie | 52 | 39 |
| 5 | Swanson | 51 | 27 |
| 6 | Phelan | 49 | 19 |
| 7 | Jowett | 48 | 11 |
| 8 | Carr | 47 | 12 |
| 9 | Allen | 47 | 10 |
| 10 | Mitchell | 47 | 6 |
| 11 | Dalziell | 46 | 11 |
| 12 | Collins | 46 | 7 |
| 13 | Parker | 45 | -3 |
| 14 | Schultz | 43 | 9 |
| 15 | Breden | 42 | -13 |
| 16 | Donaldson | 42 | -17 |
| 17 | Bertuna | 39 | -34 |
| 18 | Williams | 38 | -32 |
| 19 | Brown | 36 | -46 |
| 20 | Ng | 35 | -53 |

