# 103. Take another five

## By Ron Klinger

Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

West	North	East	South
2♥	4 <b>♠</b>	?	1 🕿

What would you do as East with:

▲ 6
♥ 842
♦ K10973
▲ AK64

Today's deal is from the finals of the 2017 World Teams Championships.

Board 60: Dealer South : East-West vulnerable



This comes from the Venice Cup (Women's Teams):

England East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
2♥	4♠	5♥	All Pass

North led  $\bigstar$ 2. South took the  $\bigstar$ A and switched to the  $\bigstar$ 5, the  $\bigstar$ J winning. After  $\bigstar$ 4 to the  $\bigstar$ K, declarer finessed the  $\blacktriangleleft$ Q. North won and returned a club, taken in dummy. Declarer drew trumps, but still had to lose a diamond, one down, North-South +100. That is not impressive with East-West having five tricks on top against 4 $\bigstar$ .

After the same  $1 \ge 2 \checkmark$ :  $4 \ge$  start to the auction in yesterday's column, this suggestion was made: 'Some pairs play a double of  $4 \ge$  as takeout. For others, the double of  $4 \ge$  is penalties. Another choice for a double of  $4 \ge$  especially and possibly  $4 \checkmark$  as well is the 'Do What's Right' double. Essentially the double says, 'I have adequate values and am prepared for you to bid to the 5-level or to pass for penalties. Do what you think is right.' Bidding  $5 \checkmark$  or  $5 \diamondsuit$  over  $4 \ge$  is committal. Double gives partner choices.'

A 2-level overcall has 7 losers or fewer. East also has a 7-loser hand. Applying the Losing Trick Count formula, 7 + 7 = 14 and 24 - 14 = 10 tricks probable. Therefore, if East is facing a minimum  $2\Psi$  overcall,  $5\Psi$  rates to be one down. Suppose East had applied the 'Do What's Right' double. With an 11-count nondescript 1-5-3-4 pattern, West would have no interest in competing to  $5\Psi$ . West would pass  $4\Phi$  doubled and collect 300.

#### Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

	North ▲ K9842 ♥ K97 ◆ J52	
	<b>♣</b> 32	
West		East
<b>◆</b> 5		♠ 6
♥ AQ1065		♥ 842
♦ A64		♦ K10973
<b>♣</b> J1097		<b>♣</b> AK64
	South	
	▲ AQJ1073	
	♥ J3	
	♦ Q8	
	<b>▲</b> Q85	

China East-West:

West	North	East	South
			2 <b>♠</b> <sup>(1)</sup>
Dble	4♠	$4NT^{(2)}$	Pass
5♣	5♠??	Dble	All Pass
(1) Weak t	wo, 8-12 point	s	

(2) Takeout, 2-suited or 3-suited

North's 5 was a serious misjudgment. It generally does not pay to sacrifice with a balanced hand. North has 9 losers, South's weak two figured to have 7 or 8 losers. Using the Losing Trick Count, 9 + 8 = 17 and 24 - 17 = 7 tricks or four down doubled, -800. 9 + 7 = 16 and 24 - 16 = 8 tricks or three down doubled -500. South had  $7\frac{1}{2}$  losers (count the clubs as  $2\frac{1}{2}$ ). As expected, declarer lost two clubs, a heart and two diamonds. That was three down for -500 and 12 Imps to China.

North did enough with the jump to 4. It rarely pays to bid the same values twice. You pre-empt as high as you dare and then hope the opponents do the wrong thing. As the cards lie, East-West were overboard in 5. The defence can always come to a spade, a heart and a club, for one down, and possibly also a diamond for two down. Why would you want to be in 5. doubled for -500 / -800 when you can be + 100 / +200 in 5.

Playoff for third:

Sweden East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Dble	$2NT^{(1)}$	Dble	4♠
Dble	Pass	Pass	Pass
(1) Mixed	spade raise (7-1	10 points)	

West led the ♣J. The defence came to their five tricks, East-West +300.

Poland East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Dble	4♠	$4NT^{(1)}$	Pass
5♣	Pass!	Pass	Pass
(1) 2-suiter	r or 3-suiter		

North led the  $\bigstar K(!)$ . South overtook and switched to the  $\blacktriangleleft 3$ , ten, king. North returned the  $\blacklozenge 9$ . Declarer won, cashed  $\bigstar A$ ,  $\bigstar K$  and claimed one down. West played hearts and whenever South ruffs, South is endplayed; if South does not ruff, throw South in with a trump and play for split honours in diamonds. One down was -100 and 9 Imps to Sweden.

Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

	North ♠ K9842 ♥ K97 ♦ J52	
	<b>♣</b> 32	
West		East
<b>◆</b> 5		♠ 6
♥ AQ1065		♥ 842
♦ A64		♦ K10973
<b>♣</b> J1097		<b>♣</b> AK64
	South	
	▲ AOJ1073	
	¥ 13	
	• 09	
	• Qo	
	<b>₹ Q85</b>	

Bermuda Bowl (Open Teams), USA2 East-West

West	North	East	South
			2♠
3♥	4 <b>♠</b>	5 <b>∀</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	All Pass
(1) Anothe	r good auction	for the Do W	hat's Right double

Note that North did not go on to 5. The 42 lead went to the ace. Back came the 43, ten, king. Back came a heart. West drew the last trump, played the 43: three – ace – five, followed by the 43 to the 44: five – ten – queen for one down, –100.

France East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
2♥	4♠	Dble!! <sup>(1)</sup>	All Pass
(1) Do What	's Right doul	ole	

West led the **&**J and the defence collected the first five tricks, +300 and 9 Imps to France.

Playoff for third, New Zealand East-West:

West	North	East	South
			2♠
Pass	4♠	All Pass	

West led the ♣J. The defence took the first five tricks, East-West +100.

**Bulgaria East-West:** 

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Dble	<b>3</b> ♦ <sup>(1)</sup>	Dble	3♥
Dble	3♠	Pass	4♠
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass
(1) 0 (1)			

(1) Spade raise, 6-9 points

West led the ♠5. Declarer eventually lost five tricks, East-West +300 and 5 Imps to Bulgaria.

Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

	North ▲ K9842 ♥ K97 ◆ J52 ▲ 32	
West ♣ 5 ♥ AQ1065	₹ 32	East ▲ 6 ♥ 842
<ul> <li>A64</li> <li>★ J1097</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>♦ K10973</li> <li>♦ AK64</li> </ul>
	South ▲ AQJ1073 ♥ J3 ♦ Q8 ♣ Q85	

D'Orsi Bowl (Seniors' Teams), Italy East-West:

West	North	East	South
2♥	4♠	Dble!! <sup>(1)</sup>	I <b>●</b> All Pass
(1) Do Wh	at's Right.		

West led the \$J. Declarer lost the first five tricks, East-West +300.

USA2 East-West:

West	North	East	South
			2♠
Dble	4♠	$4NT^{(1)}$	Pass
5♣	Pass	Pass	Pass
(1) Playabl	e in two or thr	ee suits	

North led the  $\bigstar$ 2. South took the  $\bigstar$ A and switched to the  $\blacktriangleleft$ 3, ten, king. North returned the  $\bigstar$ 7. West won, crossed to the  $\bigstar$ A, back to the  $\blacklozenge$ A and played the  $\bigstar$ J: two – four – queen. There was no endplay and declarer finished two down, –200 and 11 Imps to Italy.

Playoff for third, India East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
2♥	4♠	5♥	All Pass

North led the  $\bigstar 2$ . South took the  $\bigstar A$  and switched to the  $\forall 3$ : ten – king – two. North now switched to the  $\diamond 2$ ??: nine – queen – ace. It is usually wrong to attack dummy's long suit (or declarer's long suit) outside trumps. Declarer drew trumps and could now have made  $5 \forall$  by finessing the  $\diamond 10$ . However, he did not believe the defenders would give him such a chance. Instead, he played the  $\bigstar J$ , low king, cashed the  $\bigstar A$  and exited with a club for one down, –100. Still, it could not have hurt West to play a diamond after drawing trumps. If North followed, inserting the  $\diamond 10$  would ensure ten tricks if the  $\diamond 10$  lost and make  $5 \forall$  if the  $\diamond 10$  won. If North showed out, declarer could play the  $\diamond K$  and exit with the  $\diamond 10$  to go one off eventually.

Sweden East-West:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Dble	4♠	$4NT^{(1)}$	Pass
5♣	Pass	Pass	Pass
(1) Playabl	e in two or thr	ee suits	

North led the  $\bigstar K$ . South overtook and shifted to the  $\checkmark 3$ , ten, king. North returned the  $\checkmark 9$ . West won, cashed the  $\bigstar A$ ,  $\bigstar K$  and ran the hearts to produce an endplay (see bottom paragraph on page 2). South ruffed the fifth heart and played a spade, allowing declarer to ditch a diamond. Exiting with the  $\blacklozenge Q$  would have given declarer a guess. East-West -100, no swing.

## **Problems for Tomorrow:**

Dealer South : East-West vulnerable

West North East South  $3 \bigstar$ Dble<sup>(1)</sup> ? (1) For takeout

What would you do as North with:

▲ KJ8
♥ J8
♦ 10652

**♣** Q973

2. Dealer North : East-West vulnerable

West	North	East	South
	Pass	Pass	1♥
Pass	?		

What would you do as North with:

- **▲** 63 ♥ AK98
- ♦ K3
- ♣ 106432

### Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?

Yeah, I have plans tonight. I'll probably hit the living room around 8 or 9.