3. The Game is Spades

By Ron Klinger

Today's deals are based on replays on BBO of deals played in significant earlier events.

West dealer : Nil vulnerable



With humans East-West and robots North-South, the auction went:

West	North	East	South
Pass	1♣	Pass	1♠
Pass	$1NT^{(1)}$	Pass	2¢ ⁽²⁾
Pass	3 ♠ ! ⁽³⁾	Pass	4♠
Pass	Pass	Pass	
(1) 12-14 p	oints		

(2) New minor forcing

(3) Maximum and 3-card spade support

West led the $\mathbf{10}$: two – seven – king. South cashed $\mathbf{A}K$, $\mathbf{A}Q$, $\mathbf{A}A$ and played the $\mathbf{A}10$: five – king – ace. West returned the $\mathbf{A}8$, ace. After $\mathbf{V}Q$, king, ace, South had ten tricks, losing only one spade, one heart and one club, North-South robots +420.

The deal arose in the final of the 2008 Autumn National Open Teams.

West	North	East	South
Del'Monte	Peake	Fruewirth	Gill
Pass	1NT	Pass	2♣
Pass	2♦	Pass	3 ♥ ⁽¹⁾
Pass	3♠	Pass	4 ♣ ⁽²⁾
Pass	4 (²⁾	Pass	4 ♥ ⁽²⁾
Pass	4♠	Pass	4NT
Pass	5 ∀ ⁽³⁾	Pass	6♠
Dble	Pass	Pass	Pass
(1) Smolen, 4	hearts and	5 spades	

(2) Cue-bid

(3) Two key cards, no $\blacklozenge Q$

The slam is a poor one. You are off the A and need the VK onside, spades 3-2 and still something more beyond that, all in all below a 35% chance. East led the A: four – ace – eight. North could not avoid a spade loser, one off, East-West +100.

At the other table, North-South began $1 \ge 1 \lor (4+ \text{ spades})$, $1 \ge (3-4 \text{ spades}) : 2 \blacklozenge (artificial, forcing to game)$, 2NT (some 4-3-3-3 pattern) and ended in 4NT by North. East led the \checkmark 7: nine – three – two. North made ten tricks comfortably, +430, +11 Imps.

East dealer : Both vulnerable

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Pass	2♠	Pass	?

What would you do as South with:

▲ QJ8732
♥ A7
♦ A8
▲ K107

You have 14 HCP plus 2 points for the doubletons. Partner's range is 6-9 points. The combined total cannot come to 26 and that means game is odds against. On the other hand, your hand has six losers (2 in spades, 1 in hearts, 1 in diamonds and 2 in clubs). A $1 \ge 2 \ge$ raise usually has 9 losers, but can be as good as 8 losers. With 6 losers opposite 8 losers, total 14 losers, deducted from 24 = 10 tricks are probable. That means you should invite game, either via $3 \ge$ (long suit trial) or via $3 \ge$ (simple invitation). It is true that if you try for game and end in $3 \ge$, the contract might fail. On the other hand, if you do not try for game, ten tricks might be there. It is worse to play in $2 \ge$ making four than $3 \ge$ going one off.

	North	
	♠ AK9	
	♥ J642	
	97543	
	★ 8	
West		East
◆ 5		▲ 1064
♥ K10985		♥ Q3
♦ KQ102		♦ Jõ
♣ Q52		♣ AJ9643
	South	
	♠ QJ8732	
	♥ A7	
	♦ A8	
	♣ K107	

With humans N-S and robots E-W:

West	North	East	South
			1♠
Pass	2♠	Pass	Pass
3♥	Dble	Pass	3♠
Pass	Pass	Pass	

West led the \bigstar 5, ace. Declarer played the \bigstar 8, ace from East. South could ruff a club in dummy for ten tricks and +170. Without a trump lead, declarer could ruff two clubs in dummy, regardless of the location of the \bigstar A.

The deal arose in a Polish teams' championship. At one table, it went Pass : 1♠ : Pass : 2♠, all pass, North-South +170. At the other table: Pass : 1♠ : Pass : 2♠, Pass : 3♣ : Pass : 4♠, all pass, N-S +620, +10 Imps.

It is time I stepped aside for a less experienced and less able man. (Professor Scott Elledge on his retirement from Cornell University)