

## And we're off ...

Victoria holding first place in the Seniors and Youth; ACT in the Open and NSW in the Women's Two unbeaten teams in the open-SA and ACT: Two in the Women's-NSW and Qld; Just one in the Seniors' and Youth (both Vic).

| ANC Open Teams after S 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | S2 | S3 | TOTAL |
| ACT | +15 | +30.2 | +32.5 | 77.7 |
| SA | +27 | +6 | +26 | 59 |
| Vic | +30 | +18 | - 7 | 45 |
| Tas | -27 | +14 | +7 | -6 |
| NSW | -15 | - 6 | +14 | -7 |
| Qld | -12 | -14 | -14 | -40 |
| WA | +12 | -22 | -33.4 | -43.4 |
| NT | -30 | -30.2 | -26 | -86.2 |


| ANC Women's Teams after S3 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | S1 | S2 | S3 | TOTAL |
| NSW | $\mathbf{+ 3 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 6 4 . 9}$ |
| Qld | +30.1 | +9 | -10 | +29.1 |
| WA | +31 | +19 | -33 | +17 |
| ACT | -4 | +22 | -19 | -1 |
| SA | +4 | -9 | -4 | -9 |
| Vic | -30.1 | -29 | +32.5 | -26.6 |
| Tas | -31.9 | -18.9 | +18.9 | -31.9 |
| NT | -31 | -22 | +10 | -43 |


| ANC Seniors Teams after S3 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | S2 | S3 | TOTAL |  |
| Vic | $\mathbf{+ 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 0 . 2}$ |
| ACT | +6 | +32.5 | -15 | +23.5 |
| NSW | -2 | Bye | +15 | +13 |
| SA | -6 | +31.6 | -30.2 | -4.6 |
| Qld | -1 | -31.6 | +19 | -13.6 |
| WA | +1 | -18 | Bye | -17 |
| Tas | Bye | -33 | -19 | -52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| ANC Youth Teams after S3 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S1 | S2 | S3 | TOTAL |
| Vic | $\mathbf{+ 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{+ 6 3}$ |
| ACT | -1 | Bye | +32.5 | +31.5 |
| SA | +18 | +31.7 | -31.3 | +18.4 |
| NSW | -18 | -30.7 | Bye | -55 |
| WA | Bye | -31.7 | -32.6 | -64.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Contributions Wanted

As always, contributions to the Bulletin very welcome (as in desperately needed)—leave in the box on the hospitality desk, download to the computer in the administration room or email the editor (Keith Ogborn) at historian@abf.com.au.

## The Force

When you have a Bulletin editor who, on his very best day, is a palooka and who does not get any contributions, there starts a desperate search for ways of filling up the white spaces, preferably about bridge (we aren't so desperate yet as to start including recipes and such like) but which does not actually require any knowledge of bridge to write; and can be introduced be a meandering space-filling sentence such as this; of which Proust would have been proud had he no talent and was interested in bridge (dying as young as he did with an active social life did not, we regret, leave time for much apart from a 1500 page novel).

In search of news, I browsed the results of session one. There were three boards in that session in a row, which, generating 276 imp swings between them across the field, would have been the subject of many post-mortems.

For Board 8, the key to the swing was to bid and make 3NT (which would go two off playing Deep Finesse).
^KJ73
$\bullet$ KJT2

$\bullet$ Q62

$\oplus 54$

| A AQ85 | A 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 7643$ | $\checkmark$ A98 |
| - A5 | - KT974 |
| \& AJ6 | \&Q872 |

^. 9742 - Q5

- J83
\& KT93

The intriguing thing about the score sheet was that North-Souths in the open room were far more successful than North-Souths in the closed. Seven bid and made 3NT in the open room compared with just one in the closed (making them clear winners by 74 to 16 imps ). While some (those who made it) would argue this is because the best North-Souths were sitting that way in the open room (or best E-W in the closed) but the evidence is disputed by later boards which show some force at work which jinxes or favours a particular sitting position across the field.

Another interesting aspect of Board 8 was that
every single match had a different set of opposing contracts or tricks made; and the score always depends on what the others did. On one table you had to make 3 NT to get ahead on another a quiet $1 \diamond$ did the trick nicely.

On Board 9 the force moved to the team sitting East-West in the open room.

| A T742 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ JT53 |  |
| -643 |  |
| ¢J8 |  |
| A 5 | ^AQJ983 |
| $\checkmark 2$ | $\checkmark$ K8 |
| - AQT985 | -2 |
| ¢AQT94 | \&K752 |
| ^9742 |  |
| - Q5 |  |
| - J83 |  |
| \&KT93 |  |

The key to a good score here was to bid the slam and here the open room E-Ws dominated. Six bid $6 *$ compared with three in the closed room for a 73-13 imp win (including, I believe the biggest swing to date of 17 imps ),

The open room N-S pairs got their turn again on Board 10.

|  | AKQ5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 8643$ |  |
|  | - J52 |  |
|  | \& 653 |  |
| A 643 |  | AT8 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ92 |  | $\checkmark$ K |
| -7 |  | - Q9864 |
| ¢KT842 |  | \&AQJ97 |
|  | A AJ972 |  |
|  | -AT75 |  |
|  | - AKT3 |  |
|  | \&- |  |

Bidding and making $4 \uparrow$ (also going down to Deep Finesse) or $4 \checkmark$ was the trick here. Five North Souths managed it in the open room compared with just one in the closed.

So if you get a bad board it may not be your fault. There may be a force at work we do not understand.

| 7УN би！чэџем би！иәәә Кер！」 |  | әu！．M рәу | SəכS！d | $10 \bigcirc \forall$ | II！W Kıəg | ON甘 XE S．K OL | Kın 11118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | səSOW Ә｜！＾əN | －G00Z Kəupर今s <br> u！бu！uuị s．ıo！uəs MSN xZ EL6L әכu！s | үכоg uəлә†S |
| 14ర！${ }^{\text {N }}$ | ！un әр！e｜әр $\forall$ łe ¡uәрпłs әЈuә！ગS | Kəuow | ıәэиеう | $\begin{array}{r} \text { әЈıо। әшеб } \\ \text { әио גəло ом। } \end{array}$ | zinyos mes | 6002 nejew IZก sņ sak † | qIno人 $\forall S$ uOS！ueН әueपs |
| G әдоృəq II дәృе рооб чэпш tou＇udIL of weg шощ ॥әм әғеләdо | （ $\exists \exists \forall \perp)$ uoḷeonp |  | „əэиеう |  |  keg＇wemow <br>  ичог（К｜әел） sbu！ıeך ןned | ONV XI Sak 0t | s．o！ü ！epng yuex |
| 6u！uә＾ヨ |  |  | 06ı！＾ | $103 \forall$ |  | ONV X 8 stK 0 t | s．ọüas $\forall M$ иәsuен ичог |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { әэиә } \\ \text {-дәщ!р ou sәуеW } \end{array}$ | Guivuep ！｜วせ．」s｜‘s｜MOg | spuome！a | sn！uenby | prepuets | zKnoı ueor | ON甘 X ヤL SıK 0$\rangle$ |  |
| －wopןəs イıəл әq p｜nom snsuəsuo <br>  <br>  |  | әэиецว 0N |  | －әәц әэeds ұuә！ －！！nnsu！Inq so！！！｜lod ssnos！ <br>  <br>  | ¡ұәәл s！！ tul fuents ssoy |  <br>  <br>  <br>  －0反ీ sıеә人 мәృ е әшеб оұ уэеq pәреns <br>  <br>  qniJ әбр！иg eגə | s．o！uə S MSN <br> －6nog pıeцэ！ |
| NI ISヨ9－$V$ |  | ヨgiyg IS | NOIS YVIS | WヨISAS | YヨNLY甘d | WYȮ ONV Oヨ入V7］S | JWVN |
| SIERS OUT OT ODELS MENS－$\angle$ OPELS UINOE OUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Slam <br> By Richard Hills

Butler Pairs Stage Three, Match 6, choosing the passive four of clubs opening lead. Board 22
Dlr: East; Vul: East-West
\&T3

- J975
- J92
- JT32
- Q52
-Q6
- KQT43
-AKT8432
- 87
\&AK6
- 85

4KJ9874
----
-A65
\&Q974
By a magical coincidence, these cards were also dealt in the annual match between Hogwarts and the Death Eaters. The result of this deal decided the outcome of the half-bloody battle.

In the Closed Room, Lord Voldemort (East) reached the par contract quickly by opening the bidding with 6 H , thanks to a relay action by his partner the snake Nagini, who had descriptively whispered in parseltongue.

However, sitting South was The Boy Who Lived, Harry Potter, who also understood parseltongue. So knowing that dummy held the queen of spades, Harry decided to eschew his normal aggressive lead from a king against a slam, instead

## d'bronves

One of our restaurant sponsors is D'Browes restaurant in Narrabundah. Not far from Manuka or Kingston, 'Browes contemporises traditional dining at its best - candle-lit tables, crisp linen and designer decor, combined with the finest food from Asia to Europe.

This restaurant is a return to the good old days of simple style and sophisticated dishes. Why not make a booking on 62956990 . If you like lamb shanks they are among the best in Canberra!

Lord Voldemort won the ace of clubs, drew Albus Percival Wulfric Brian Dumbledore's trumps in four rounds (Harry discarding four spades), then led a diamond. Inspired by a memory of a rogue bludger, Harry dodged and let dummy's king of diamonds win.

Lord Voldemort returned to his hand via the king of clubs followed by a club ruff, and led another round of diamonds. Now Harry won the ace and safely exited with a fourth round of clubs. So Harry eventually had to win his king of spades for the setting trick.

In the open room Ron Weasley (West) and Hermione Granger (East) had a Standard Hogwartsian auction to 6 H , and Lucius Malfoy also chose a club lead. But Hermione, the cleverest witch of her generation, chose to play five rounds of trumps, not merely four. On the fifth round Lucius was squeezed in three suits.
Baring the king of spades would be obviously disastrous. And discarding a diamond would mean that Hermione could lead a diamond to the king, then duck a diamond and watch the ace of diamonds beat air. Or discarding a club would eliminate the vitally needed safe exit card.

17 imps for +1430 and +100 meant that Hogwarts had narrowly survived deathly hallows yet again.

