## ANC Bulletin – Canberra 2001

## No 13 Thursday 26th July

Editors Nick Hughes & Nicoleta Giura





ANC Teams after 12 of 14

## Two rounds to go

In theory, teams need to be 150 VPs in front of third to be safe, even at this late stage. In practice, NSW will play SA in the **Open** and WA will play NSW in the **Women's**. These four teams will warm-up against each other in the final round robin matches this afternoon, which will also see bitter contests at the other end of the field.

In the **Seniors'**, Victoria will have a big head start in the final. The fight is tight for second place.

The **Youth** has three more rounds with Victoria to play SA or WA.

For those teams not making it, have a great meal tonight at one of the featured restaurants. There'll be good kibitzing on Friday with the vu-graph at 2.30. If you've had enough bridge, take Friday off and become a tourist, then we'll see you at the Victory Dinner.

#### A bit of History

The 1995 ANC in Darwin was Tim's 25th. The NSW team of Cummings, Kanetkar, Kozakos, Del'Monte, Lavings, Richman and Seres won the Open Teams. Ok, who played with whom?

Peter Newman & Khokan Bagchi won the Butler. Shirley Collins & Leigh Richards won Women's.

# **ABF** events

Exact times to be confirmed!

ANC teams (4 categories) Sun - Wed 10.30, 2.30, 8pm Thur 10.30 & 2.30

8 pm

#### **ANC finals**

Thur Fri 27th

(Vugraph at 2.30)

10 am, 1.30

Victory Dinner – Fri at 7pm at Great Hall, Uni House, ANU

#### More Cummings Columns

Denis Howard has compiled a book based on Dick Cummings' columns in the *Sydney Morning Herald* and the *Sun Herald*. About 180 pages, presented in quiz form, it will be available in a few months.

#### Tales of Hoffman - 2

Here's a hand from back in Round 1. It's a while ago, so some of the details are a bit hazy:

Teams 1, Bd 16								
			٠	A 8	76	5		
			$\heartsuit$	10 9	5			
			$\diamond$	J 7	65			
			•	Q				
٠	J 10	93	2			٠	Κ	
$\heartsuit$	Q3					$\heartsuit$	J 8 7	76
$\diamond$	98	3				$\diamond$	K 10 4	42
•	K 6	4				•	10 9	72
				Q4				
			$\heartsuit$	ΑK	42			
			$\diamond$	ΑQ				
				ΑJ	85	3		

Julia played 3NT from South on a spade to the king and club back to the  $\clubsuit K$ . West continued with a spade to declarer's queen. Then came  $\diamond A$ -Q with East winning the  $\diamond K$  to lead a heart.

Julia won the ♥A, cashed the ♣A-J and ducked a heart to West's queen. This forced West to give dummy the lead, which also saueezed East in hearts and clubs.



Not bad play for a 2-year-old

Now an anonymous contribution:

#### A Tale told by an Idiot

That title comes (need I say?) from a famous Shakespearean passage in which Macbeth (in Act 5, Scene 5) was perhaps talking about me; for it also mentions "a poor player".

/	i ounio untoi	
Open 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th	NSW	228.1 208.7 56.3 52.9 25.8 -87.0 -234.0 -259.1
Wom 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th	en's NSW WA ACT SA QId Vic NT Tas	193.3 175.5 83.6 56.2 27.5 2.3 -192.7 -351.2
Senio 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th	Vic ACT NSW Qld WA SA Tas NT	287.9 101.5 85.9 85.5 -7.2 -73.3 -213.9 -287.8
Yout 1st 2nd 3rd	h <b>(3 rounds t</b> Vic SA WA	<b>xo go)</b> 242.5 * 79.2 * 26.5 *

 3rd
 WA
 26.5 \*

 4th
 NSW
 -19.9 \*

 5th
 ACT
 -113.9 \*

 6th
 Colts
 -225.8 \*

\* Scores against the ABF Colts to be excluded.

## ANC Teams, Match 6, Bd 6

🛧 A 7 2
♡ A
♦ J754
📥 A J 10 6 5
🛧 K 5
♡Q9876
♦ A 10 6 3
🕭 K 2
🛧 J 10 9 8
♡ J 10 3
♦ 82
9843

WEST	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH
		1♡	No
1♠	2♣	2\$	No
4♡	End		

Any idiot can make  $4\heartsuit$  if trumps split two-two; but this idiot was quickly one down. After winning the  $\clubsuit K$  at trick one, came a thoughtless low trump to the king and ace.

With North more likely than South (on the bidding) to have the  $\heartsuit A$  and/or heart shortage, declarer should, of course, cross to the  $\diamondsuit K$  and lead the  $\heartsuit 2$  from dummy, making here and also (by restricted choice) when North has  $\heartsuit J$  or  $\heartsuit 10$  singleton.

So NS did well to eschew the (theoretically cheap) 5♣ sacrifice.

As so often happens at score-up it went "Minus 100; plus 100", so no-one noticed; but (anonymous) confession is good for the soul.

Notice also that if (as may well have happened at other tables) West's first response is high enough to silence North, NS can never find the save; but East then has to play blind and blamelessly may duplicate my losing play. There's a moral there somewhere; but I'm not sure what it is.

The surprising datums were open -190, women +100, seniors -170, youth +30; so there may be as yet untold stories about this board.

David Lusk spots a misdefence:

<b>Open F</b> S/Both	-	92 7	Bd 23
<ul> <li>▲ 3</li> <li>♡ J 6</li> <li>◇ A K 9</li> <li>▲ A 10 4</li> </ul>	2 ▲ A 8	♥ ♦ 86 8743 08	K Q J 5 A 10 5 6 3 Q 6 5 3
WEST 1♦ 2♣	NORTH No No	EAST 1♠ 3NT	SOUTH No No End

South led the  $\heartsuit$ 4 and North played the nine to force East's 10. This was imaginative but worked poorly on

this hand. If North plays the  $\Im K$ , East will win and play the  $\bigstar K$ . South then wins this to play a low heart, keeping communications open.

After winning the  $\heartsuit$ 10, East played the  $\bigstar$ K and South ducked, allowing declarer to turn his attention to diamonds for nine easy tricks.

If South wins the A at first opportunity and continues hearts, declarer ducks and wins the next heart. On a diamond towards dummy, South can keep the pressure on by inserting the J or 10. This forces declarer to switch to clubs, with the favourable layout giving nine tricks by a different route.

Log onto <u>BridgeOn.net</u> for great articles and tips.

#### Bridge-Cam match

The ACT Women needed to beat WA in Match 11 to have a chance. Here are some hands:

Match S/EW	- • • •	< 6 2 10 9 8 3				
♠ QJ8			97532			
♥ 765 ♦ A107	_	•	A 4 5 2			
♣ 10 6 5		-	A J 7 3			
	📥 10 ·	4				
♡ J ◇ K 8 6 4 3 ♣ K Q 8 4 2						
	NORTH Church		SOUTH Hoffman 2NT *			
No No	3♡ 5◊	No End	3NT			

-50, when  $4\heartsuit$  has chances. That stiff  $\heartsuit$ J is the key card.  $4\heartsuit$  is cold on a spade lead but should fail on a red suit lead, provided West is careful with the  $\diamondsuit$ A.

On Board 5, Julia Hoffman responded 1NT to a 1◊ opening with this:

#### ▲ AQ7 ♥ KQ987 ♦ K8 ♣ J42

Nola passed this on her flat 13-count for 10 IMPs out. What had happened? Did Hoffman not see her partner's bid and open 1NT herself? Hard to imagine that with bidding boxes. No, she'd meant to extract the 1♡ card and only noticed her error after partner had passed. That's inadvertent (and plausible given her workload). If she'd woken up a bit earlier, she could have had her bid back. Bridge-cam helps us all become familiar with bidding boxes, as used in all world championships. On Bd 11, Church held these cards:

On Ball I, Church heid these cards:

♠ A10832 ♡6 ♦ A J109532

She passed in third seat, awaiting developments, of which there were none. 4♠ was cold but they played partscore in the other room so it was just 5 IMPs out.

Here's a taste of WA Acol:

Bd 1	12, W/NS		
No	1♡	٠	A K 8
1♠	?	$\heartsuit$	K9742
		$\diamond$	10 6
		*	K94

What's it to be? 2♠? 2♣? Or maybe you'd have opened a 12-14 notrump. Annabelle Booth rebid 2♡, consistent with their style. They reached 3♡ in the 5-3 fit but trumps broke 5-0.

Nola might have made 5♣ on Bd 11: F/Nil ♠ 8.3

E/NII	✿ 83
	♡ A
	♦ A 4
	📥 K 10 9 8 7 6 5 4
<b>◆</b> 754	🛧 A Q 10
♡ 8743	♡KQJ1052
◊ 9762	♦ K 8 5 3
\Lambda J	♣ —
	🛧 KJ962
	♡96
	♦ Q J 10
	♣ Q32

She played 5 from North on the  $\heartsuit K$ lead after East had opened 1 $\heartsuit$ . Best is to play a spade at trick two. The king wins, then ruff a heart to lead another spade, endplaying East. Church played the  $\clubsuit K$  instead, to West's ace. A diamond switch shoots it but West returned a heart. The spade play still works but Church used her one entry to dummy to take the diamond finesse for one off.

The 3 IMP win to ACT was no where near enough.

## Profile – Tasmanian Open

#### Bruce Williams/Richard Misior

Bruce is the Playing Captain of the team. Bruce has been playing since the mid 1970's and has represented Tasmania on a number of occasions in the 1980's and late 1990's. In the early 1990's, while living in the ACT, Bruce came close to representing the ACT on a couple of occasions while playing with Richard Hills. He has won numerous major titles in Tasmania and the ACT.

Richard has been playing for some 40 years but only became involved in serious competition in the mid 1970's. Richard has represented Tasmania previously at the ANC level and has often played at other major events such as the Summer Festival of Bridge and the GNOT final. Although he has won a number of major titles in Tasmania, his most notable achievement is being the Australian Individual Champion in 1987.

#### Sandra & Andrew Richman

Both Sandra and Andrew started playing in the early 1990's. They formed both a bridge and marriage partnership about 2½ years ago. Their lifestyle - being investors and living on a small farm near Launceston - allow them travel around Australia playing in the major events and developing their bridge skills. This they have done with considerable success.

They have yet to represent Tasmania as a partnership but Andrew has previously made it to Stage 3 in the Butler and represented Tasmania at the Sydney ANC.

# Adam Guarino-Watson & Allan Greenwood

Adam and Allan are a youth pair, having previously represented Tasmania at Youth level. Unfortunately Tasmania is unable to field a Youth team this year (Tim Johnson has moved to Victoria and Mark Abraham has moved to the ACT) so Adam and Allan are playing in the Open Team. They in fact came second in the team trials held earlier in the year.

Adam and Allan were part of

Tasmanian Youth Teams that have performed with distinction at the Summer Festival (won the Youth category) and they have been consistent top performers in various Tasmanian championships over the past 12 months.

#### Another review

For the many lovers of Chinese cuisine amongst our visitors this week, look no further than next door to the Chifley. Within the Kythera Motel lies the "Superior Peking" restaurant, serving high-class Chinese meals for both lunch and dinner. Lunch is served in the traditional Yum Cha style.

For dinner, it is worth going in a group of at least four people, as the "banguets" provide wonderful value. For \$20 a head, the banquet consists firstly of fried mixed entrees (spring rolls, fried sesame toasts, and lovely crunchy mermaids' tresses or fried spinach). Then there is succulent tender garlic king prawns, boneless chicken with lemon or peking sauce, sizzling mongolian lamb, Chinese vegetables and fried rice. Everything is piping hot, fresh-tasting and zesty. The value of the banquet is obvious by comparison with the regular menu where the ambrosial garlic prawns are priced at \$20.90 on their own.

There is a more extensive banquet menu at \$28 per head, which is superb. Service is friendly and very fast, and the wines are moderately priced, making an altogether satisfactory dining experience.

Patricia Back

## **Upcoming ABF events**

Retireinvest Sydney Festival Bondi 13-16 September Val Cummings: 02 9959 4946

#### Australian Swiss Pairs

Hobart 13-14 October Gold Points at Wrest Point Barry Kelly: 03 6228 5247 Or speak to Dallas Cooper or John Bailey

#### **Gold Coast Congress**

Surfers 13-23 February Kim Ellaway: 07 9344 5564 Or speak to Tony Jackman

## Post Free Bridge Books

Visit our bookstall in the foyer

- Latest new books
- Software at **Discount**
- Boxes of Bargain Books
- Bridge memorabilia

Visit the world's largest bridge book web site: www.postfree.cc email: plavings@postfree.cc

#### Deep Finesse

Software that analysis hands and supplies the winning lines. Ask Richard Webb to analyse your difficult hands. DF will improve your game more than any other program.

Just arrived from the US today:

**Kantar CD - Play and Defence** 10% off all software during the ANC.

Today's Matches						
	Open	Women	Seniors			
10.30	SA vs Qld	SA vs WA	Vic vs SA			
	NSW vs ACT	Qld vs NSW	WA vs NSW			
	WA vs Vic	NT vs ACT	Tas vs ACT			
	NT vs Tas	Vic vs Tas	Qld vs NT			
2.30	SA vs NSW	WA vs NSW	NSW vs Vic			
	ACT vs Qld	SA vs Qld	SA vs WA			
	NT vs Vic	Vic vs ACT	ACT vs Qld			
	WA vs Tas	NT vs Tas	NT vs Tas			

## Appeal # 4

1.1			
Seniors	s' Rd 8,	QId vs S	SA, Bd 8
W/Nil	秦 J 🤅	97	
	ΥQ.	7	
	<b>◊</b> Α,	J84	
	🐣 A (	642	
<b>6</b> 4		٠	Q832
♡105		$\heartsuit$	K96
♦ 952		$\diamond$	K Q 10 3
📥 Q J 10	753	*	K 8
	🔶 A I	<105	
	ΎА,	J 8 4 3 2	
	\$ 7 (	6	
	<b>♣</b> 9	•	
WEST	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH
Clarke	Berger		Rusher
No	1 <b>♣</b>	Dbl	10
No	No	Dbl	Rdbl
2♣	No	No	3♠
No	4♡	End	

#### Result: 4♡/S, +420 NS

**Ruling**: Before doubling a second time, East claims that he asked whether South's 1♥ bid was forcing. North indicated that, as he had passed, the implication is that it wasn't. NS appear to have no firm agreement.

Therefore Misinformation, score adjusted to NS +170 under Laws 21b3, 40c, 12c2.

**NS appealed**: There was no clear agreement on the status of  $1\heartsuit$ . East's second double was opportunistic, having completely described his hand by the first double.

**EW responded**: If North had said "No clear agreement" the Director would have been called. With North away from the table, South would have explained  $1\heartsuit$  as forcing then East would pass  $1\heartsuit$ .

**Committee** (M Prescott, S Hinge, M Scudder, P Evans, D Jesner): It is illogical for East to take further action after partner's failure to act over  $1\heartsuit$ . Score adjusted to the table result, +420 in 4\heartsuit. A procedural penalty of 3 IMPs imposed on NS for the system misunderstanding.

More on Appeal # 3 (Bulletin 11). Phil Gue took me to task for comments on the fine imposed. It seems the Committee imposed the severe 3 VP procedural penalty (equivalent to about 10 IMPs), partly to restore equity in an 8-board match. This left NS square and took 10 IMPs from EW, which approaches equity.

The old (?) alternative was to award an artificial score (say ¾ of +650 and ¼ of -200, or just plain +500), with or without a smaller fine, which would swing about 3 IMPs both ways.

That was a messy method. However, the effect of the fines method is to make it harder for the appealing side to actually gain IMPs.

*I reckon a fine, if imposed, should be a set amount for a given infraction, irrespective of the damage.* 

See Appeal # 4 above, where the NS infraction was deemed not to be the cause of the damage, yet they were still fined 3 IMPs.

The Committee seems to be incorporating some of the adjustment into the fine. Is that the way things are done these days? (I'm out of touch) One for the Director's Bulletin, please Laurie.

Okay, which card won the first trick:

Teams 5, E	3d 12, Tas	vs Qld Open
W/NS	🛦 AQJ3	
(	♡A102	
<	◇ A 9 7 6 4	2
•	♣ —	
<b>◆</b> 96		🔶 K 10 2
♡Q76		♡ K J 9
♦ 5		♦ K J 8 3
♣Q9876	53	🜲 K 10 2
•	8754	
(	♡8543	
<	◇ Q10	
•	AJ4	

East led the ♣2 against 3♠ by North. Declarer planned to ruff this in hand to lead a diamond towards dummy's queen but something strange happened.

West thought the lead was a singleton, placing declarer with the K-10. So he declined to put up his queen. In fact he was in a very declining mood and played the ♣3! Declarer had the presence of mind to discard a heart so the ♣4 had won trick 1. Surely a galactic record!

#### Fast and furious

Teams	5 12. B	d 6	-			
E/EW	,	92				
	$\heartsuit$	QJ	10 5	43		
	$\diamond$	72				
	*	95	2			
AK	Q J 8 7	65		٠	10	
ΥA				$\heartsuit$	92	
♦ J				$\diamond$	AK10	3543
♣ Q64	4			*	J73	
	٠	43				
	$\heartsuit$	K 8	76			
	$\diamond$	Q 9	8			
	*	ΑK	10 8			

The Open match between NSW and Qld was pure Double Bay, fast and cavalier. Matthew McManus could have made this 6♠ on a heart lead. Just run the trumps, coming to this ending:

٠	5		♠ —
$\heartsuit$			$\heartsuit$ —
$\diamond$	J		♦ AK10
•	Q64		\Lambda J 7
		♠ —	
		$\heartsuit$ —	
		♦ Q98	
		🐥 AK	

Pitch a club on the last trump, cash the ◇A and exit with the ♣J. He didn't try too hard, getting the score without the sweat in 4♠xx, making five for +1480. Ziggy was in a strange mood; it was that kind of match. Was the redouble wise (since 5♡ escapes for -500)? It was clear-cut – real men don't run!

Then Jim opened like real man, 3♣ vulnerable on ♣AKQTx and out. I'd seen enough – NSW had their maximum. Shades of the Surfers Semi.



Pam & her prodigal son. Pam is in the NT Women's Team, Tony in the NSW Open.